arXiv:1412.0572v1 [math.GT] 1 Dec 2014

SURGERIES, SHARP 4-MANIFOLDS AND THE ALEXANDER POLYNOMIAL

DUNCAN MCCOY

Abstract. Let Y be an L-space obtained by p/q-surgery on a knot in $K \subseteq S^3$. Ozsváth and Szabó have shown that the Heegaard Floer homology of Y is determined by the surgery coefficient and the Alexander polynomial of K. In this paper, we prove a result in the opposite direction. We show that if Y bounds a sharp 4-manifold and the surgery slope exceeds $4g(K)+4$, then the Alexander polynomial of K is uniquely determined by Y and p/q. We also show that if $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold, then $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold for all $p'/q' \geq p/q$. As an application, we extend work of Ni and Zhang on characterizing slopes of torus knots.

1. Introduction

A knot $K \subseteq S^3$ is said to be an L-space knot if there is $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that the 3-manifold $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ obtained by p/q-surgery on K is an L-space. It is known that the Heegaard Floer homology of an L-space obtained in this way is determined by the Alexander polynomial of K and the surgery slope. We show that under certain circumstances, the Alexander polynomial is determined by the surgery coefficient and the resulting manifold. Throughout this paper, we will always assume that the intersection form of a sharp 4-manifold is negative-definite.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that for some $p/q > 0$, there are knots $K, K' \in S^3$ such that $S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q}(K')$ is an L-space bounding a sharp 4-manifold. If $p/q \geq 4g(K)+4$, then*

$$\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_{K'}(t) \text{ and } g(K) = g(K').$$

The most obvious limitation of Theorem 1.1 is the required existence of a sharp 4-manifold. It turns out that given one sharp 4-manifold bounding $S^3_{p/q}(K)$, we can construct one bounding $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ for any $p'/q' \geq p/q$.

Theorem 1.2. *If $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold for some $p/q > 0$, then $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold for all $p'/q' \geq p/q$.*

Owens and Strle show that if $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ bounds a negative-definite 4-manifold X, then $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ bounds a negative-definite 4-manifold for any $p'/q' \geq p/q$ by taking a certain negative-definite cobordism from $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ to $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ and gluing it to X [14]. We prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that if X is sharp, then this construction results in a sharp 4-manifold.

We apply these results to the problem of finding characterizing slopes of torus knots. We say that p/q is a *characterizing slope* for K if $S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q}(K')$ implies that $K = K'$. Using a combination of Heegaard Floer homology and geometric techniques, Ni and Zhang were able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Ni and Zhang, [13])**.** *For the torus knot $T_{r,s}$ with $r > s > 1$ any non-trivial slope p/q satisfying*

$$\frac{p}{q} \geq \frac{30(r^2-1)(s^2-1)}{67}$$

is a characterizing slope.

Their argument requires a bound on the genus of any knot K satisfying $S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$. Since $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ is an L-space bounding a sharp 4-manifold for $p/q \geq rs-1$, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain the equality $g(K) = g(T_{r,s})$, whenever $p/q \geq 4g(K)+4$. This allows us to lower their quadratic bound to one which is linear in rs.

Theorem 1.4. *For the torus knot $T_{r,s}$ with $r > s > 1$ any non-trivial slope p/q satisfying*

$$\frac{p}{q} \geq 10.75(2g(T_{r,s})-1) = \frac{43}{4}(rs-r-s).$$

is a characterizing slope.

1.1. **Further remarks.** The bound $4g(K)+4$ in Theorem 1.1 is a fairly coarse bound. With a better understanding of how the intersection form of a sharp 4-manifold bounding $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ depends on K, the arguments in this paper can be extended to give a bound which is frequently stronger. We provide further details about stronger bound in Remark 3.9, which can be found after the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The condition that $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold restricts the circumstances in which Theorem 1.1 can be applied. Since this 4-manifold serves primarily to organise the d-invariants, it seems possible that one could prove some variant of Theorem 1.1 without this hypothesis.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his supervisor, Brendan Owens, for his helpful guidance. He would also like to acknowledge the influential role of ideas from Gibbons' paper [5] in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Sharp 4-manifolds

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2. Let Y be a rational homology 3-sphere, its Heegaard Floer homology is an abelian group which splits as a direct sum over its spin^c-structures:

$$\widehat{HF}(Y) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)} \widehat{HF}(Y, \mathfrak{s}).$$

Associated to each summand there is a numerical invariant $d(Y, \mathfrak{t}) \in \mathbb{Q}$, called the *$d$-invariant* [16]. If Y is the boundary of a smooth, negative-definite 4-manifold X, then for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$ which restricts to $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)$ there is a bound on the d-invariant:

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 + b_2(X) \leq 4d(Y, \mathfrak{t}). \tag{2.1}$$

We say that X is *sharp* if for every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)$ there is some $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$ which restricts to $\mathfrak{t}$ and attains equality in (2.1). Throughout this paper, every sharp manifold is assumed to be negative-definite.



FIGURE 1. A Kirby diagram for $W(K)$ and a surgery diagram for $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K)$.

2.1. **A manifold bounding $S^3_{p/q}(K)$.** Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot. For fixed $p/q > 0$, with a continued fraction $p/q = [a_0, \dots, a_l]^-$, where

$$[a_0, \dots, a_l]^- = a_0 - \cfrac{1}{a_1 - \cfrac{1}{\ddots - \cfrac{1}{a_l}}},$$

and the a_i satisfy

$$a_i \geq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 0 \text{ or } l \\ 2 & \text{for } 0 < i < l, \end{cases}$$

one can construct a 4-manifold W bounding $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K)$ by attaching 2-handles to D^4 according to the Kirby diagram given in Figure 1. In this paper, all homology and cohomology groups will be taken with integer coefficients. Since W is constructed by attaching 2-handles to a 0-handle, the first homology group $H_1(W)$ is trivial and $H_2(W)$ is free with a basis $\{h_0, \dots, h_l\}$ given by the 2-handles. With respect to this basis, the intersection form $H_2(W) \times H_2(W) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is given by the matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -1 & & \\ -1 & a_1 & -1 & \\ & -1 & \ddots & -1 \\ & & -1 & a_l \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued pairing on $H^2(W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_2(W), \mathbb{Z})$, which is given by the matrix M^{-1} with respect to the dual basis $\{h_0^*, \dots, h_l^*\}$. By considering the long exact sequence of the pair (W, Y), we obtain the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^2(W, Y) \to H^2(W) \to H^2(Y) \to 0.$$

Since we may identify $H^2(W, Y)$ with $H_2(W)$ via Poincaré duality, and with respect to the bases $\{h_i\}$ and $\{h_i^*\}$ the resulting map $H_2(W) \to H^2(W)$ is given by the matrix M, we get isomorphisms

$$H^2(Y) \cong \frac{H^2(W)}{PD(H_2(W))} \cong \mathrm{coker}(M). \tag{2.2}$$

Since $H^2(W)$ is torsion-free, the first Chern class defines an injective map

$$c_1 : \mathrm{Spin}^c(W) \to H^2(W),$$

where the image is the set of characteristic covectors $\mathrm{Char}(W) \subseteq H^2(W)$. A *characteristic covector* $\alpha \in H^2(W)$ is one satisfying

$$\alpha \cdot x \equiv x \cdot x \pmod 2, \text{ for all } x \in H_2(W).$$

This allows us to identify $\mathrm{Spin}^c(W)$ with the set

$$\mathrm{Char}(W) = \{(c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^{l+1} \,|\, c_i \equiv a_i \bmod 2 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

We will use this identification throughout this section.

Using the map in (2.2), which arises from restriction, this allows us identify the set $\mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)$ with elements of the quotient

$$\frac{\mathrm{Char}(W)}{2PD(H_2(W))}.$$

Given $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$ we will use $[\mathfrak{s}]$ to denote its equivalence class modulo $2PD(H_2(W))$ and the corresponding spin^c-structure on Y.

2.2. **Representatives for $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$.** Now we identify a set of representatives for $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$ in $\mathrm{Spin}^c(W) = \mathrm{Char}(W)$. Following Gibbons, we make the following definitions [5].

Definition 2.1. Given $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$, we say that it contains a *full tank* if there is $0 \leq i < j \leq l$, such that $c_i = a_i$, $c_j = a_j$ and $c_k = a_k - 2$ for all $i < k < j$. We say that $\mathfrak{s}$ is *left-full*, if there is $k > 0$, such that $c_k = a_k$ and $c_j = a_j - 2$ for all $0 < j < k$.

Observe that our definition of left-full does not impose any conditions on c_0, and that if $l = 0$, then $\mathrm{Char}(W)$ contains no left-full elements. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of elements $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$ satisfying

$$|c_i| \leq a_i, \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq l$$

and such that neither $\mathfrak{s}$ nor $-\mathfrak{s}$ contain any full tanks. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ denote the set of elements $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

$$2 - a_i \leq c_i \leq a_i, \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq l.$$

The set $\mathcal{C}$ will turn out to form a complete set of representatives for $\mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Write p/q in the form $p/q = a_0 - r/q$, where $q/r = [a_1, \dots, a_l]^-$. We have $|\mathcal{C}| = p$, and for each $c \equiv a_0 \pmod 2$, we have*

$$|\{(c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \,|\, c_0 = c\}| = \begin{cases} q & \text{for } -a_0 < c < a_0 \\ q - r & c = a_0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\{\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \,|\, c_0 = c \text{ and } \mathfrak{s} \text{ is left full}\}| = \begin{cases} r & \text{for } -a_0 < c < a_0 \\ 0 & \text{for } c = a_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on the length of the continued fraction $[a_0, \dots, a_l]$. When $l = 0$, we have $p = a_0$, $q = 1$ and $r = 0$. In this case,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{-a_0 < c \leq a_0 \,|\, c \equiv a_0 \pmod 2\}$$

which clearly has the required properties. Suppose that $l > 0$, and let $\mathcal{C}'$ denote the set

$$\mathcal{C}' = \{(c_1, \dots, c_l) \,|\, c_i \equiv a_i \pmod 2, -a_i < c \leq a_i \text{ contains no full tanks}\}.$$

As $q/r = [a_1, \dots, a_l]^-$, we can assume that we have $|\mathcal{C}'| = q$, and for each $c \equiv a_1 \pmod 2$, we have

$$|\{(c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}' \,|\, c_1 = c\}| = \begin{cases} r & \text{for } -a_1 < c < a_1 \\ r - r' & c = a_1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\{\mathfrak{s}' = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}' \,|\, c_1 = c \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}' \text{ is left full}\}| = \begin{cases} r' & \text{for } -a_1 < c < a_1 \\ 0 & \text{for } c = a_1, \end{cases}$$

Where $r/r' = [a_2, \dots, a_l]^-$. For $c \equiv a_0 \pmod 2$ in the range $-a_0 < c \le a_0$, take $\mathfrak{s} = (c, c_1, \dots, c_l)$. If $c < a_0$, then $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $(c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}'$, and $\mathfrak{s}$ is left-full if and only if $c_1 = a_1$ or $c_1 = a_1 - 2$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}'$ is left full. Therefore,

$$|\{(c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \,|\, c_0 = c\}| = |\mathcal{C}'| = q$$

and

$$|\{\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \,|\, c_0 = c \text{ and } \mathfrak{s} \text{ is left full}\}| = (r - r') + r' = r,$$

for $c < a_0$. If $c = a_0$, then $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $(c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ contains no full tanks. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $(c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ is not left-full. As above, we see that there are $r - r'$ choices of $\mathfrak{s}' = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}'$ with $c_1 = a_1$ and r' choices with $c_1 = a_1 - 2$ and $\mathfrak{s}'$ is left-full. This shows that

$$|\{(c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \,|\, c_0 = a_0\}| = q - r$$

and

$$|\{\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \,|\, c_0 = a_0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{s} \text{ is left full}\}| = 0,$$

as required. It is then easy to see that $|\mathcal{C}| = (n-1)q + q - r = p$. □

We say that $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$ is *short* if it satisfies $\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 \le \|\mathfrak{s}'\|^2$ for all $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$ with $[\mathfrak{s}'] = \mathfrak{t}$. Here $\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 = \mathfrak{s}M^{-1}\mathfrak{s}^T$ denotes the norm with respect to the pairing given by M^{-1}. The following lemma exhibits the short elements of $\mathrm{Char}(W)$ and shows that $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of short representatives for $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$ (cf. [5, Lemma 3.3].)

Lemma 2.3. *The characteristic vector $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$ is short if and only if $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$. For every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$, there is $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $[\mathfrak{s}] = \mathfrak{t}$.*

Proof. Take $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathrm{Char}(W)$. In terms of the basis given by $\{h_i^*\}$, the cohomology class $PD(h_i)$ is given by the ith row of M, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{s} \pm 2PD(h_i)\|^2 &= \|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 \pm 4PD(h_i) \cdot \mathfrak{s} + 4\|PD(h_i)\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 \pm 4c_i + 4a_i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, if $\mathfrak{s}$ is short, then it must satisfy $|c_i| \le a_i$ for all i, and if $c_i = \pm a_i$, then $\|\mathfrak{s} \mp 2PD(h_i)\|^2 = \|\mathfrak{s}\|^2$. Suppose $\mathfrak{s}$ contains a full tank, say $c_j = a_j$, $c_i = a_i$ and $c_k = a_k - 2$ for all $i < k < j$. If we let $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} - 2\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} PD(h_k)$, then by repeated applications of (2.3), we have that

$$\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 = \|\mathfrak{s} - 2PD(h_i)\|^2 = \cdots = \|\mathfrak{s} - 2\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} PD(h_k)\|^2.$$

But, if we write $\mathfrak{s}' = (c_0', \dots, c_l')$, then we have $c_j' = a_j + 2$, which shows that $\mathfrak{s}'$ and, hence also $\mathfrak{s}$, cannot be short. Since $\mathfrak{s}$ is short if and only if $-\mathfrak{s}$ is short, this shows that $\mathfrak{s}$ is short only if $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$. In order to prove the converse, we need the following claim.

Claim. For every $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$, there is $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathcal{C}$, with $\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 = \|\mathfrak{s}'\|^2$ and $[\mathfrak{s}] = [\mathfrak{s}']$.

Proof of Claim. Given $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$, we call any k such that $c_k = -a_k$ a *trough* for $\mathfrak{s}$. Observe that $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{s}$ has no troughs. Take $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{s} \notin \mathcal{C}$. We may take k minimal such that k is a trough for $\mathfrak{s}$. Let $j \geq 0$ be minimal such that $c_i = 2 - a_i$ for all $j \leq i < k$. Take $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} + 2\sum_{i=j}^{k} PD(h_k)$. If we write $\mathfrak{s}' = (c_0', \dots, c_l')$, then

$$c_i' = \begin{cases} a_k & \text{for } i = k \\ c_i - 2 & \text{for } i = k \pm 1 \\ c_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

if $j = k$ and

$$c_i' = \begin{cases} a_j & \text{for } i = j \\ a_i - 2 & \text{for } j < i \leq k \\ c_i - 2 & \text{for } i = j-1, k+1 \\ c_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

if $j < k$. By repeatedly applying (2.3), we have

$$\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 = \|\mathfrak{s} + 2PD(h_k)\|^2 = \cdots = \|\mathfrak{s} + 2\sum_{i=j}^{k} PD(h_i)\|^2 = \|\mathfrak{s}'\|^2.$$

It is clear that $[\mathfrak{s}] = [\mathfrak{s}']$. Thus if $a_k > 1$ or $j = k$, then we see that any trough k' in $\mathfrak{s}'$ must satisfy $k' > k$. If $k = l$ and $a_l = 1$ with $j < l$, then observe that although l is still a trough for $\mathfrak{s}'$, we have $c_j' = a_j > 0$. That is, we have either increased the value of the minimal trough, or if $a_l = 1$ and $c_l = -1$, we have either removed this trough or increased the minimal value j such that $c_i = 2 - a_i$ for all $j \leq i < l$. Thus by performing a sequence of such modifications, we eventually obtain $\mathfrak{s}''$ containing no troughs and satisfying $\|\mathfrak{s}''\|^2 = \|\mathfrak{s}\|^2$ and $[\mathfrak{s}] = [\mathfrak{s}'']$. Since it has no troughs, we have $\mathfrak{s}'' \in \mathcal{C}$, as required. □

Since every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$ has a short representative $\mathfrak{s}'$, which is necessarily in $\mathcal{M}$, the above claim shows that it has a short representative $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$. However Lemma 2.2 shows $|\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))| = |\mathcal{C}| = p$, so every element of $\mathcal{C}$ occurs as a short representative for precisely one element of $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$. It then follows from the above claim that every element of $\mathcal{M}$ must be short. □

We will define one more short set of representatives for $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$, which we call $\mathcal{F}$. Although the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ may appear unmotivated, one of Gibbons' key ideas is that when it comes to working with d-invariants, $\mathcal{F}$ is a nicer set of representatives than $\mathcal{C}$ (see Lemma 2.7). We obtain $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. Take $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathfrak{s}$ is left-full and $c_0 \geq 0$, then we include $\mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} PD(h_i)$ in $\mathcal{F}$, where $c_k = a_k$ and $c_i = a_i - 2$ for $1 \leq i < k$. So $\mathfrak{s}'$ takes the form,

$$\mathfrak{s}' = \begin{cases} (c_0 + 2, -a_1, 2 - a_2, \dots, 2 - a_{k-1}, 2 - a_k, c_{k+1} + 2, c_{k+2}, \dots, c_l), & \text{if } k > 1 \\ (c_0 + 2, -a_1, c_2 + 2, c_3, \dots, c_l), & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise we include $\mathfrak{s}$ in $\mathcal{F}$. The following lemma contains the properties of $\mathcal{F}$ that we will require.

Lemma 2.4. *Every element of $\mathcal{F}$ is short and for each $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$, there is a unique $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $[\mathfrak{s}] = \mathfrak{t}$. For each $c \equiv a_0 \pmod 2$ and $-a_0 < c \leq a_0$, we have*

$$|\{(c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{F} | c_0 = c\}| = \begin{cases} q & \text{for } c \notin \{0, 1\} \\ q - r & \text{for } c \in \{0, 1\} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, every element of $\mathcal{F}$ is short. By construction, for every $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathcal{F}$, we either have $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathcal{C}$ or there is $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $[\mathfrak{s}'] = [\mathfrak{s}]$. This shows that $\mathcal{F}$ is a complete set of representatives for $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$. If $\mathfrak{s}' = (c'_0, \dots, c'_l) \neq \mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l)$, then $c'_0 = c_0 + 2 \geq 2$, and $(c_0, \dots, c_l)$ is left full. Since Lemma 2.2 shows that there are r such left-full tuples for each $c_0 < a_0$, when we construct $\mathcal{F}$ we increase the first coordinate of r tuples in $\mathcal{C}$ for each $a_0 > c_0 \geq 0$. This shows that we have the required number for each choice of first coordinate $-a_0 < c_0 \leq a_0$. □

2.3. **Calculating d-invariants.** In this section, we set about calculating the d-invariants for spin^c-structures on $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ using the sets of representatives given in the previous section. Since the intersection form on $H^2(W)$ is independent of the choice of the knot K, it gives natural choices of correspondences,

$$\mathrm{Spin}^c(W(K)) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Char}(W(K)) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Char}(W(U)) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}^c(W(U)),$$

and hence also a choice of correspondence

$$\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K)) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(U)). \tag{2.4}$$

Using this we can define $D^{p/q}_K : \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K)) \to \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$D^{p/q}_K(\mathfrak{t}) = d(S^3_{p/q}(K), \mathfrak{t}) - d(S^3_{p/q}(U), \mathfrak{t}).$$

One can also establish identifications [22],

$$\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K)) \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(U)), \tag{2.5}$$

by using relative spin^c-structures on $S^3 \setminus \mathring{\nu}K$. Using this identification, one can similarly define $\widetilde{D}^{p/q}_K : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ by the formula

$$\widetilde{D}^{p/q}_K(i) := d(S^3_{p/q}(K), i) - d(S^3_{p/q}(U), i). \tag{2.6}$$

The work of Ni and Wu shows that for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, the values $\widetilde{D}^{p/q}_K(i)$ may be calculated by the formula [12, Proposition 1.6],

$$\widetilde{D}^{p/q}_K(i) = -2 \max\{V_{\lfloor \frac{i}{q} \rfloor}, H_{\lfloor \frac{i-p}{q} \rfloor}\}, \tag{2.7}$$

where V_j and H_j are sequences of positive integers depending only on K, which are non-increasing and non-decreasing respectively. Since we also have that $H_{-i} = V_i$ for all $i \geq 0$ [15, Proof of Theorem 3], this can be rewritten as

$$\widetilde{D}^{p/q}_K(i) = -2V_{\min\{\lfloor \frac{i}{q} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{p-i}{q} \rceil\}}. \tag{2.8}$$

When $p/q = n$ is an integer, the correspondence (2.5) can be easily reconciled with the one in (2.4). In this case W is obtained by attaching a single n-framed 2-handle to D^4, and the spin^c-structure $c = \mathrm{Char}(W) = \{(c) \,|\, c \equiv n \pmod 2\}$, is labelled by $i \pmod n$, when $n + c \equiv 2i \pmod{2n}$ [21]. It is clear that in this case the correspondences in (2.4) and (2.5) are the same. Hence for $c \equiv n \pmod 2$ satisfying $-n < c \leq n$, we have

$$D^n_K([c]) = \widetilde{D}^n_K(\frac{n+c}{2}) = -2V_{\min\{\frac{n+c}{2}, \frac{n-c}{2}\}} = -2V_{\frac{n-|c|}{2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.5. It turns out that the correspondences between $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))$ and $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(U))$ used in (2.4) and (2.5) coincide in general. However, we will not require this fact.

Lemma 2.6. *If we write p/q in the form $p/q = n - r/q$ with $q > r \geq 0$, then*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))} D_K^{p/q}(\mathfrak{t}) = 2rV_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + \sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_n(K))} qD_K^n(\mathfrak{t})$$

Proof. Observe that for any $0 < \alpha/\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, the sum $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(K))} D_K^{\alpha/\beta}(\mathfrak{t})$ is independent of the choices of correspondence between $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(K))$ and $\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(U))$, in the sense that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(K))} D_K^{\alpha/\beta}(\mathfrak{t}) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(K))} d(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(K),\mathfrak{t}) - \sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(U))} d(S^3_{\alpha/\beta}(U),\mathfrak{t}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \widetilde{D}_K^{\alpha/\beta}(i).\end{aligned}$$

This allows us to use (2.8) to compute both $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))} D_K^{p/q}(\mathfrak{t})$ and $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_n(K))} D_K^n(\mathfrak{t})$ in terms of the V_i. It is then a straight forward computation to verify that the desired identity holds. □

Since Ozsváth and Szabó have shown that the manifold $-W(U)$ is sharp [16], [17] (or alternatively [20]), for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$d(S^3_{p/q}(U),[\mathfrak{s}]) = \frac{\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 - b_2(W)}{4} = \frac{\|\mathfrak{s}\|^2 - l - 1}{4}. \tag{2.10}$$

The following lemma allows us to calculate $D_K^{p/q}([\mathfrak{s}])$ for $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 2.7 (Proof of Lemma 3.10, [5])**.** *For any $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \ldots, c_l) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have*

$$D_K^{p/q}([\mathfrak{s}]) = D_K^{a_0}([c_0]) = -2V_{\frac{a_0-|c_0|}{2}}.$$

Consequently, for any $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \ldots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$D_K^{p/q}([\mathfrak{s}]) = \begin{cases} D_K^{a_0}([c_0+2]) = -2V_{\frac{a_0-2-c_0}{2}} & \text{if } 0 \leq c_0 < a_0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{s} \text{ is left full,} \\ D_K^{a_0}([c_0]) = -2V_{\frac{a_0-|c_0|}{2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that W can be considered as the composition of positive-definite cobordisms,

$$W_1 : \emptyset \to S^3_{a_0}(K) \text{ and } W_2 : S^3_{a_0}(K) \to S^3_{p/q}(K),$$

where $b_2(W_1) = 1$, $b_2(W_2) = l$ and for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(W)$, we have

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 = c_1(\mathfrak{s}|_{W_1})^2 + c_1(\mathfrak{s}|_{W_2})^2.$$

Thus for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$, (2.10) shows that we have

$$\frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s}|_{W_2})^2 - l}{4} = d(S^3_{p/q}(U),[\mathfrak{s}]) - d(S^3_{a_0}(U),[c_0]).$$

For any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(W_2)$, which restricts to $\mathfrak{t}_1$ and $\mathfrak{t}_2$ on $S^3_{a_0}(K)$ and $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ respectively, Ozsváth and Szabó show that we get the bound [16]:

$$\frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - l}{4} \geq d(S^3_{p/q}(K),\mathfrak{t}_2) - d(S^3_{a_0}(K),\mathfrak{t}_1).$$

Thus, if we take $\mathfrak{s}|_{W_2}$ for some $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{M}$, then we get

$$d(S^3_{p/q}(U), [\mathfrak{s}]) - d(S^3_{a_0}(U), [c_0]) = \frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 - l}{4} \geq d(S^3_{p/q}(K), [\mathfrak{s}]) - d(S^3_{a_0}(K), [c_0]).$$

Rearranging, this shows that we have

$$D^{a_0}_K([c_0]) \geq D^{p/q}_K([\mathfrak{s}]),$$

for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore Lemma 2.4 shows that we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p/q}(K))} D^{p/q}_K(\mathfrak{t}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{F}} D^{p/q}_K([\mathfrak{s}]) \leq 2rV_{\lfloor \frac{a_0}{2} \rfloor} + \sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{a_0}(K))} qD^{a_0}_K(\mathfrak{t}). \tag{2.11}$$

However, by Lemma 2.6 we must have equality in (2.11). Since we have termwise inequality in (2.11), this implies that we must have equality

$$D^{a_0}_K([c_0]) = D^{p/q}_K([\mathfrak{s}]),$$

for each $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{F}$. Using (2.9) gives the required result in terms of the V_i. The formula for $D^{p/q}_K([\mathfrak{s}])$ when $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}$ follows directly from the construction of $\mathcal{F}$. □

2.4. **Cobordisms.** Owens and Strle show that if $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ bounds a negative-definite manifold, then so does $S^3_r(K)$ for any $r > p/q$ by gluing a sequence of negative-definite cobordisms to the original manifold [14]. We take the same approach to prove Theorem 1.2.

Let $p/q = [a_0, \dots, a_l]^-$ and $p'/q' = [a_0, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_k]^-$, where $a_1, b_k \geq 1$ and $a_i, b_j \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j < k$. Observe that we have $p/q > p'/q'$. Now let W and W' be the 4-manifolds bounding $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K)$ and $Y' = S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$ obtained by attaching 2-handles according to the two given continued fractions as in Figure 1. The manifold W is naturally included as a submanifold in W' and $Z = W' \setminus (\mathrm{int}W)$ is a positive-definite cobordism from $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ to $S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$. As in the previous section, we may take a basis for the homology groups $H_2(W)$ and $H_2(W')$ given by the 2-handles and in the same way we may identify $\mathrm{Spin}^c(W)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}^c(W')$ with $\mathrm{Char}(H_2(W))$ and $\mathrm{Char}(H_2(W'))$ respectively. We can also define subsets $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathrm{Char}(H_2(W))$ and $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathrm{Char}(H_2(W'))$, as in Section 2.2.

Lemma 2.8. *Take $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Char}(H_2(W))$. If $(a_0, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_k) \neq (a_0, 2, \cdots, 2, 1)$ or $\mathfrak{s} \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, then there is some short spin^c-structure $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(W')$, such that $\mathfrak{s}'|_W = \mathfrak{s}$ and $D^{p/q}_K(\mathfrak{s}|_Y) = D^{p'/q'}_K(\mathfrak{s}|_{Y'})$.*

If $(a_0, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_k) = (a_0, 2, \cdots, 2, 1)$ and $\mathfrak{s} = (0, \dots, 0)$, then there is some short spin^c-structure $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(W')$, such that $\mathfrak{s}'|_W = \mathfrak{s}$ and $D^{p'/q'}_K(\mathfrak{s}|_{Y'}) = -2V_{\frac{a_0-2}{2}}$ and $D^{p/q}_K(\mathfrak{s}|_Y) = -2V_{\frac{a_0}{2}}$.

Proof. Take $\mathfrak{s} = (c_0, \dots, c_l) \in \mathcal{C}$. First suppose that one of the following holds:

(i) $b_k > 1$; or
(ii) $b_j > 2$ for some $1 \leq j < k$.

In this case, let $\mathfrak{s}'$ be the spin^c-structure given by.

$$\mathfrak{s}' = (c_0, \dots, c_l, 2 - b_1, \dots, 2 - b_k).$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{s}'$ restricts to $\mathfrak{s}$ on W. If (i) holds, then $2 - b_k < b_k$ and hence we have $2 - b_i < b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. If (ii) holds, then we have some j such that $2 - b_j < b_j - 2$. In either case, this shows that $\mathfrak{s}'$ contains no full tanks and that $\mathfrak{s}'$ is left-full if and only if $(c_1, \dots, c_l)$ is left-full. Therefore, $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathcal{C}'$ and by Lemma 2.3

and Lemma 2.7, we have that $\mathfrak{s}'$ is short and that $D^{p/q}_{[\mathfrak{s}]} = D^{p'/q'}_{[\mathfrak{s}']}$. This proves the lemma if either (i) or (ii) hold.

Therefore we may assume that $b_i = 2$ for $1 \leq i < k$ and $b_k = 1$. We consider the case where there is $0 < j \leq l$ such that $c_j > 2 - a_j$ or $a_j > 2$. In this case, we define

$$\mathfrak{s}' = (c_0, \dots, c_l, 0, \dots, 0, -1).$$

This clearly restricts to $\mathfrak{s}$ and by Lemma 2.3 it is short. It remains to calculate $D^{p'/q'}_K([\mathfrak{s}'])$. Take $0 < t \leq l$ to be maximal such that $a_t > 2$ or $c_t > 2 - a_t$. Since $c_j = 0$ and $a_j = 2$ for all $l \geq j > t$, we can assume for convenience that $t = l$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let h'_i denote 2-handle attached with framing b_i in the handle decomposition of W'. Consider now the spin^c-structure $\mathfrak{s}''$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{s}'' &= \mathfrak{s}' + 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} iPD(h'_i) \\ &= (c_0, \dots, c_{l-1}, c_l - 2, 0, \dots, 0, 1).\end{aligned}$$

By construction, we have that $[\mathfrak{s}''] = [\mathfrak{s}'] \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(S^3_{p'/q'}(K))$, $\mathfrak{s}'' \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathfrak{s}''$ is left-full if and only if $\mathfrak{s}$ is left-full. Thus by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$D^{p/q}_K([\mathfrak{s}]) = D^{p'/q'}_K([\mathfrak{s}'']) = D^{p'/q'}_K([\mathfrak{s}']).$$

Thus it remains only to prove the lemma when $c_j = 2 - a_j = 0$ for all $0 < j \leq l$. In this case, we may take

$$\mathfrak{s}' = \begin{cases} (c_0, 0, \dots, 0, -1) & \text{if } c_0 > 0 \\ (c_0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) & \text{if } c_0 \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We have either $\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathcal{C}'$ or $-\mathfrak{s}' \in \mathcal{C}'$. In either case, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.7, show that it has the required properties. In particular, if $c_0 = 0$, then a_0 is necessarily even and we have

$$D^{p'/q'}_K([\mathfrak{s}']) = -2V_{\frac{a_0-2}{2}} \text{ and } D^{p/q}_K([\mathfrak{s}]) = -2V_{\frac{a_0}{2}},$$

as required. □

Lemma 2.9. *If $S^3_{2n}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold for $n \geq 1$, then $V_n = V_{n-1} = 0$.*

Proof (sketch). Let $\tilde{g} \geq 0$ be minimal such that $V_{\tilde{g}} = 0$. Greene shows that if $S^3_p(K)$ is an L-space bounding a sharp 4-manifold [6, Theorem 1.1], then

$$2g(K) - 1 \leq p - \sqrt{3p+1}.$$

In the proof of this inequality, the L-space condition is only required to show that

$$d(S^3_p(K), i) - d(S^3_p(U), i) \leq 0,$$

for all i with equality if and only if $\min\{p-i, i\} \geq g(K)$. However, since (2.8) shows that

$$d(S^3_{2n}(K), i) - d(S^3_{2n}(U), i) \leq 0,$$

for all i, with equality if and only if $\min\{2n-i, i\} \geq \tilde{g}$, the same argument shows the bound

$$2\tilde{g} - 1 \leq 2n - \sqrt{6n+1}.$$

This shows

$$\tilde{g} \leq n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6n+1} < n - \frac{1}{2},$$

and hence that $V_n = V_{n-1} = 0$, as required. □

Recall that $Z = W' \setminus (\text{int}W)$ is a cobordism from $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K)$ to $Y' = S^3_{p'/q'}(K)$.

Lemma 2.10. *If Y' is the boundary of a sharp 4-manifold X', then the manifold $X = (-Z) \cup_{Y'} X'$ is a sharp 4-manifold bounding Y.*

Proof. It is clear from the construction that X is negative-definite with $b_2(X) = b_2(Z) + b_2(X')$ and $\partial X = Y$. Together, Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 show that for every $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)$, there exists a short $\mathfrak{s}' \in \text{Spin}^c(-Z)$ such that $\mathfrak{s}'|_Y = \mathfrak{t}$ and $D_K^{p'/q'}(\mathfrak{t}') = D_K^{p/q}(\mathfrak{t})$, where $\mathfrak{s}'|_{-Y'} = \mathfrak{t}'$. In each case, such a $\mathfrak{s}'$ can be obtained by restricting the spin^c-structure given in Lemma 2.8. The equality $D_K^{p'/q'}(\mathfrak{t}') = D_K^{p/q}(\mathfrak{t})$ either follows directly from Lemma 2.8 or from Lemma 2.9 which guarantees that $V_{\frac{a_0}{2}} = V_{\frac{a_0-2}{2}} = 0$ when a_0 is even. By using (2.10), we see that such a $\mathfrak{s}'$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s}')^2 + b_2(Z)}{4} &= d(S^3_{p/q}(U), \mathfrak{t}) - d(S^3_{p'/q'}(U), \mathfrak{t}') \\ &= (d(Y, \mathfrak{t}) - D_K^{p/q}(\mathfrak{t})) - (d(Y', \mathfrak{t}') - D_K^{p'/q'}(\mathfrak{t}')) \\ &= d(Y, \mathfrak{t}) - d(Y', \mathfrak{t}').\end{aligned}$$

Since X' is sharp, there is $\mathfrak{r} \in \text{Spin}^c(X')$ such that $\mathfrak{r}|_{Y'} = \mathfrak{t}'$ and

$$\frac{c_1(\mathfrak{r})^2 + b_2(X')}{4} = d(Y', \mathfrak{t}').$$

The spin^c-structure $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ obtained by gluing $\mathfrak{r}$ to $\mathfrak{s}'$ on $-Z$ satisfies $\mathfrak{s}|_Y = \mathfrak{t}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2 + b_2(X)}{4} &= \frac{c_1(\mathfrak{s}')^2 + b_2(Z) + c_1(\mathfrak{r})^2 + b_2(X')}{4} \\ &= (d(Y, \mathfrak{t}) - d(Y', \mathfrak{t}')) + d(Y', \mathfrak{t}') \\ &= d(Y, \mathfrak{t}).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that X is sharp, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Lemma 2.10 shows that if $S^3_{[a_0,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_k]^-}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold, then so does $S^3_{[a_0,\dots,a_l]^-}(K)$, for $a_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, $a_0 \geq 1$, $b_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i < k$ and $b_k \geq 1$. In particular, if $S^3_{[a_0,\dots,a_l]^-}(K)$ bounds a sharp manifold, then the identity:

$$[a_0, \dots, a_l]^- = [a_0, \dots, a_l + 1, 1]^-$$

shows that $S^3_{[a_0,\dots,a_l+1]^-}(K)$ also bounds a sharp 4-manifold. If $r' > r$, then for some $m \geq 0$, we can write their continued fractions in the forms

$$r = [a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+k}]^-$$

and

$$r' = [a_1, \dots, a_m, a'_{m+1}, \dots, a'_{m+k'}]^-,$$

where $a'_{m+1} > a_{m+1}$. From the above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_0 &= r = [a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+k}]^-, \\
r_1 &= [a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}]^-, \\
r_2 &= [a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1} + 1]^-, \\
&\vdots \\
r_\alpha &= [a_1, \dots, a_m, a'_{m+1} - 1]^- = [a_1, \dots, a_m, a'_{m+1}, 1]^-, \\
r_{\alpha+1} &= [a_1, \dots, a_m, a'_{m+1}, 2]^-, \\
&\vdots \\
r_{M-1} &= [a_1, \dots, a_m, a'_{m+1}, \dots, a'_{m+k'} - 1]^-, \\
r_M &= r' = [a_1, \dots, a_m, a'_{m+1}, \dots, a'_{m+k'}]^-,
\end{aligned}$$

forms an increasing sequence of rational numbers, with $r_0 = r$ and $r_M = r'$ such that if $S^3_{r_i}(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold, then so does $S^3_{r_{i+1}}(K)$. Therefore, since $S^3_r(K)$ bounds a sharp 4-manifold, it follows that $S^3_{r'}(K)$ must bound a sharp 4-manifold, as required. □

3. The Alexander polynomial

When positive surgery on a knot in S^3 bounds a sharp 4-manifold X results of Greene, in the integer and half-integer case [6] [7] [8], and Gibbons, in the general case [5], show that the intersection form of X takes the form of a changemaker lattice. In this section, we state the changemaker theorem and derive the properties of changemaker lattices required to prove Theorem 1.1.

3.1. **Changemaker lattices.**

The changemaker condition from which changemaker lattices get their name is the following.

Definition 3.1. We say $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t)$ satisfies the *changemaker condition*, if the following conditions hold,

$$0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq 1, \text{ and } \sigma_{i-1} \leq \sigma_i \leq \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_{i-1} + 1, \text{ for } 1 < i \leq t.$$

We give the definition of integer and non-integer changemaker lattices separately, although the two are clearly related.

Definition 3.2 (Integral changemaker lattice)**.** First suppose that $q = 1$, so that $p/q > 0$ is an integer. Let $f_0, \dots, f_t$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{Z}^t$. Let $w_0 = \sigma_1 f_1 + \cdots + \sigma_t f_t$ be a vector such that $\|w_0\|^2 = p$ and $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t)$ satisfies the changemaker condition, then

$$L = \langle w_0 \rangle^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{t+1}$$

is a *p/q-changemaker lattice*. Let m be minimal such that $\sigma_m > 1$. We define the *stable coefficients* of L to be the tuple $(\sigma_m, \dots, \sigma_t)$. If no such m exists, then we take the stable coefficients to be the empty tuple.

Definition 3.3 (Non-integral changemaker lattice)**.** Now suppose that $q \geq 2$ so that $p/q > 0$ is not an integer. This has continued fraction expansion of the form,

$p/q = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_l]^-$, where $a_k \geq 2$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$ and $a_0 = \lceil \frac{p}{q} \rceil \geq 1$. Now define

$$m_0 = 0 \text{ and } m_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i - k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq l.$$

Set $s = m_l$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_t, e_0, \dots, e_s$ be an orthonormal basis for the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{t+s+1}$. Let $w_0 = e_0 + \sigma_1 f_1 + \cdots + \sigma_t f_t$, be a vector such that $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t)$ satisfies the changemaker condition and $\|w_0\|^2 = n$. For $1 \leq k \leq l$, define

$$w_k = -e_{m_{k-1}} + e_{m_{k-1}+1} + \cdots + e_{m_k}.$$

We say that

$$L = \langle w_0, \dots, w_l \rangle^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{t+s+1}$$

is a *p/q-changemaker lattice*. Let m be minimal such that $\sigma_m > 1$. We define the *stable coefficients* of L to be the tuple $(\sigma_m, \dots, \sigma_t)$. If no such m exists, then we take the stable coefficients to be the empty tuple.

Remark 3.4. Since $m_k - m_{k-1} = a_k - 1$, the vectors $w_0, \dots, w_l$ constructed in Definition 3.3 satisfy

$$w_i.w_j = \begin{cases} a_j & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we are ready to state the changemaker theorem we will use.

Theorem 3.5 (cf. Theorem 1.2 of [5])**.** *Suppose that for $p/q = n - r/q > 0$, the manifold $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ bounds a negative-definite, sharp 4-manifold X with intersection form Q_X. Then for $N = b_2(X) + l + 1$, we have an embedding of $-Q_X$ into $\mathbb{Z}^N$ as a p/q-changemaker lattice,*

$$-Q_X \cong \langle w_0, \dots, w_l \rangle^{\perp} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$$

such that w_0 satisfies

$$8V_{|i|} = \min_{\substack{c \cdot w_0 \equiv 2i-n \bmod 2n \\ c \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{Z}^N)}} \|c\|^2 - N, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $|i| \leq n/2$.

The equation (3.1) is not explicitly stated by Gibbons. However, Greene shows that it holds in the case of integer surgeries [6, Lemma 2.5] and we will deduce it in the general case using the results of Section 2. We also point out that Theorem 3.5 does not contain the hypotheses on the d-invariants of $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ which were present in Gibbons' original statement. These are omitted since it can be shown that they are automatically satisfied (cf. [10, Section 2]).

Proof of (3.1). Let W' be the positive-definite 4-manifold bounding $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ obtained by attaching 2-handles $h_0, \dots, h_l$ to S^3 according to the Kirby diagram in Figure 1. This can be decomposed as $W \cup Z$, where W has boundary $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ and is obtained from D^4 by attaching a single n-framed 2-handle along K in $\partial D^4 = S^3$ and Z a cobordism from $S^3_n(K)$ to $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ obtained by 2-handle attachment. The homology group $H_2(W)$ is generated by the class given by gluing the core of the 2-handle to a Seifert surface Σ. We will call this generator $[\Sigma]$. Let X' be the closed smooth positive-definite 4-manifold $X' = W' \cup (-X) = W \cup Z \cup (-X)$. This has

second Betti number $b_2(X') = b_2(X) + l + 1$ and Donaldson's Theorem shows that the intersection form on $H_2(X')$ is diagonalisable, i.e $H_2(X') \cong \mathbb{Z}^{b_2(X')}$ [3]. Let $\sigma \in H_2(W \cup Z \cup (-X))$ be the class given by the inclusion of $[\Sigma]$ into $H_2(X')$. Since Lemma 2.10 shows that $(-Z) \cup X$ is a sharp 4-manifold bounding $S^3_n(K)$, Greene shows that σ satisfies [6, Lemma 2.5]

$$8V_{|i|} = \min_{\substack{c\cdot\sigma \equiv 2i-n \bmod 2n \\ c \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{Z}^{b_2(X')})}} \|c\|^2 - b_2(X'),$$

for all $|i| \leq n/2$. Since the vector w_0 occurring in Theorem 3.5 is precisely the image of $[\Sigma]$ with respect to some choice of orthonormal basis for $H_2(X')$, the above equation gives (3.1), as desired. □

Now we prove that under certain hypotheses the changemaker structure on a lattice is unique.

Remark 3.6. Since there are examples of lattices admitting embeddings into $\mathbb{Z}^N$ as changemaker lattices in more than one way, we cannot prove unconditionally that the changemaker structure of a lattice is unique. For example, we have an isomorphism of lattices

$$\langle 4e_0 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5\rangle^\perp \cong \langle 2e_0 + 2e_1 + 2e_2 + 2e_3 + 2e_4 + e_5\rangle^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^6.$$

This isomorphism can be seen by observing that both lattices admit a basis for which the bilinear form is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1 & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This example is a consequence of the fact that $S^3_{21}(T_{5,4}) = S^3_{21}(T_{11,2}) = L(21, 4)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $L = \langle w_0, \dots, w_l\rangle^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ be a p/q-changmaker lattice with stable coefficients $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_t)$. If $p/q \geq \sum_{i=1}^t \rho_i^2 + 2\rho_t$, then for any embedding $\phi : L \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that*

$$\phi(L) = \langle w_0', \dots, w_l'\rangle^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$$

is a p/q-changemaker lattice, there is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ which maps w_0 to w_0'.

Proof. If we write $p/q = n - r/q$, where $0 \leq r < q$, by definition there is a choice of orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{Z}^N$ such that w_0 takes the form

$$w_0 = \begin{cases} \rho_t e_{m+t} + \cdots + \rho_1 e_{m+1} + e_m + \cdots + e_1 & \text{if } q = 1 \text{ and} \\ \rho_t e_{m+t} + \cdots + \rho_1 e_{m+1} + e_m + \cdots + e_1 + e_0 & \text{if } q > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $m \geq 2\rho_t \geq 4$ and $\|w_0\|^2 = n$. It follows that L contains vectors $v_2, \dots, v_{m+t}$ defined by

$$v_k = \begin{cases} -e_k + e_{k-1} & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq m, \\ -e_k + e_m + \cdots + e_{m-\rho_{k-m}+1} & \text{for } m+1 \leq k \leq m+t. \end{cases}$$

These satisfy $\|v_{m+k}\|^2 = 1 + \rho_k$, for $1 \leq k \leq t$, and $v_{m+k} \cdot v_{m+l} = \min\{\rho_l, \rho_k\} = \rho_k$ for $1 \leq k < l \leq t$.

We will consider the image of these vectors under ϕ. For k in the range $2 \leq k \leq k+l$, let u_k denote the vector $u_k = \phi(v_k)$. For j and k satisfying $2 \leq k < j \leq m$, we have $\|v_k\|^2 = \|v_j\|^2 = 2$ and

$$v_k \cdot v_j = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = k+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that we may choose orthogonal unit vectors f_1, f_2, f_3 such that $u_2 = -f_2 + f_1$ and $u_3 = -f_3 + f_2$. Since there are no vectors of norm one in L which pair non-trivially with v_2, we can deduce that $f_1 \notin \phi(L)$. This shows that there must be k such that $w'_k \cdot f_1 \neq 0$.

There are two possibilities for u_4. We can either have $u_4 = -f_2 - f_1$ or there is a unit vector $f_4 \notin \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ such that $u_4 = -f_4 + f_3$. However, if we have $u_4 = -f_2 - f_1$, then there is no vector $x \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $x \cdot f_1 \neq 0$ and $x \cdot u_4 = x \cdot u_2 = 0$, contradicting the existence of w'_k with $w'_k \cdot f_1 \neq 0$. Thus u_4 must take the form $u_4 = -f_4 + f_3$. Continuing in this way, it follows that there is a choice of distinct orthogonal unit vectors $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ in $\mathbb{Z}^N$, such that $u_k = -f_k + f_{k-1}$ for each k in the range $2 \leq k \leq m$.

Now we determine the form that u_{m+1} must take. Let λ_1 denote the quantity $\lambda_1 = u_{m+1} \cdot f_1$. For $2 \leq k \leq m$ we have $v_k \cdot v_{m+1} = 0$ for $k \neq m - \rho_1 + 1$ and $v_k \cdot v_{m-\rho_1+1} = -1$. This shows that we have

$$u_{m+1} \cdot f_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq m - \rho_1 \\ \lambda_1 + 1 & \text{for } k > m - \rho_1. \end{cases}$$

Thus by computing the norm of u_{m+1}, we obtain

$$\|u_{m+1}\|^2 = \|v_{m+1}\|^2 = \rho_1 + 1 \geq \lambda_1^2(m - \rho_1) + (\lambda_1 + 1)^2\rho_1.$$

Since we are assuming that $m \geq 2\rho_t \geq 2\rho_1$, we have either $m - \rho_1 > \rho_1$, which implies that $\lambda_1 = 0$, or we have $m - \rho_1 = \rho_1$. If $m - \rho_1 = \rho_1$ holds, then we have either $\lambda_1 = 0$ or -1.

Thus we see that u_{m+1} may be assumed to be in the form

$$u_{m+1} = \begin{cases} -f_{m+1} + f_m + \cdots + f_{m-\rho_1+1} & \text{if } \lambda_1 = 0 \\ f_{m+1} - f_{\rho_1} - \cdots - f_1 & \text{if } \lambda_1 = -1. \end{cases}$$

for some choice of unit vector $f_{m+1} \notin \{\pm f_1, \ldots, \pm f_m\}$.

Now we perform similar analysis for u_{m+j} when $1 < j \leq t$. Let λ_j denote the quantity $\lambda_j = u_{m+j} \cdot f_1$. For $2 \leq k \leq m$ we have $v_k \cdot v_{m+j} = 0$ for $k \neq m - \rho_j + 1$ and $v_k \cdot v_{m-\rho_j+1} = -1$. This show that

$$\lambda_j \cdot f_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_j & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq m - \rho_j \\ \lambda_j + 1 & \text{for } k > m - \rho_j. \end{cases}$$

By computing the norm of u_{m+j}, we obtain

$$\|u_{m+j}\|^2 = \|v_{m+j}\|^2 = \rho_j + 1 \geq \lambda_j^2(m - \rho_j) + (\lambda_j + 1)^2\rho_j.$$

Since we are assuming that $m \geq 2\rho_t \geq 2\rho_j$, we have either have $m - \rho_j > \rho_j$ which implies that $\lambda_j = 0$ or we have $m - \rho_j = \rho_j$ which implies that $\lambda_j = 0$ or -1. Since $\|u_{m+j}\|^2 = \rho_j + 1$, we see that u_{m+j} takes the form

$$u_{m+j} = \begin{cases} -f_{j+m} + f_m + \cdots + f_{m-\rho_j+1} & \text{if } \lambda_j = 0 \\ f_{j+m} - f_{\rho_j} - \cdots - f_1 & \text{if } \lambda_j = -1. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

for some choice of unit vector $f_{j+m} \notin \{\pm f_1, \dots, \pm f_m\}$. Using the fact that $u_{m+1} \cdot u_{m+j} = v_{m+1} \cdot v_{m+j} = \rho_1$ for $j > 1$, we see that we must have $\lambda_j = \lambda_1$. Furthermore, since $u_{m+k} \cdot u_{m+j} = v_{m+k} \cdot v_{m+j} = \rho_j$ for all $1 \leq j < k \leq t$ we see that the unit vectors $f_{m+1}, \dots, f_{m+t}$ must all be distinct.

As we are assuming that ϕ is an embedding of L into $\mathbb{Z}^N$ as p/q-changemaker lattice

$$\phi(L) = \langle w_0', \dots, w_l' \rangle^\perp,$$

we have $|w_i' \cdot f| \leq 1$ for any $i \geq 1$ and any unit vector $f \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. We also have $\|w_0'\|^2 = n$.

Let x be a vector in the orthogonal complement of $\phi(L)$. Since x must satisfy $u_k \cdot x = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq m + t$, and these u_k take the form given in (3.2) we have

$$x \cdot f_k = \begin{cases} x \cdot f_1 & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m \text{ and} \\ \rho_{k-m}(x \cdot f_1) & \text{for } m+1 \leq k \leq m+t. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $x \cdot f_1 \neq 0$, then $|x \cdot f_{m+t}| > 1$. Thus we must have $w_i' \cdot f_1 = 0$, for all $i \geq 1$. However as we deduced earlier in the proof, $f_1 \notin \phi(L)$, so we must have $w_0' \cdot f_1 \neq 0$. Thus if we compute the norm of w_0', we arrive at the inequality

$$\|w_0'\|^2 = n \geq (w_0' \cdot f_1)^2 (\sum_{i=1}^{t} \rho_i^2 + m) \geq (w_0' \cdot f_1)^2 (n-1).$$

This shows that $w_0' \cdot f_1 = 1$, and it follows that w_0' must take the form,

$$w_0' = \begin{cases} \rho_t f_{m+t} + \dots + \rho_1 f_{m+1} + f_m + \dots + f_1 & \text{if } q = 1, \\ \rho_t f_{m+t} + \dots + \rho_1 f_{m+1} + f_m + \dots + f_1 + f_0 & \text{if } q > 1. \end{cases}$$

This allows us to complete the proof, since any automorphism which maps e_i to f_i for $0 \leq i \leq m + t$ maps w_0 to w_0'. □

3.2. **L-space knots.** We specialise (3.1) to the case of L-space surgeries. A knot K is said to be an *L-space knot* if $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ is an L-space for some $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$. The knot Floer homology of an L-space knot is known to be determined by its Alexander polynomial, which can be written in the form

$$\Delta_K(t) = a_0 \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_i (t^i + t^{-i}),$$

where $g = g(K)$ and the non-zero values of a_i alternate in sign and assume values in $\{\pm 1\}$ with $a_g = 1$ [18],[19]. Given an Alexander polynomial in this form, we define its *torsion coefficients* by the formula

$$t_i(K) = \sum_{j \geq 1} j a_{|i|+j}.$$

Remark 3.8. The torsion coefficients uniquely determine the Alexander polynomial since we have

$$a_{j+1} = t_j(K) - 2t_{j+1}(K) + t_{j+2}(K),$$

for all $j \geq 0$, and $a_0 \in \{\pm 1\}$ is then determined by the alternating sign property.

When K is an L-space knot, the V_i appearing in (3.1) satisfy $V_i = t_i(K)$ for $i \geq 0$ [22]. Thus if $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ is an L-space bounding a negative-definite sharp 4-manifold X

with intersection form Q_X, then Theorem 3.5 shows that $-Q_X$ embeds into $\mathbb{Z}^N$ for p/q-changemaker lattice L, where

$$L = \langle w_0, \dots, w_l \rangle^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$$

and w_0 satisfies

$$8t_i(K) = \min_{\substack{c \cdot w_0 \equiv 2i-n \bmod 2n \\ c \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{Z}^N)}} \|c\|^2 - N, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $|i| \leq n/2$. If we write $w_0 = \sigma_1 f_1 + \cdots + \sigma_t f_t$, then Greene uses (3.3) to show that the genus $g(K)$ can be calculated by the formula [6, Proposition 3.1]

$$g(K) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \sigma_i(\sigma_i - 1). \tag{3.4}$$

This will allow us to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K)$ is an L-space bounding a sharp 4-manifold X with intersection form Q_X, then the positive-definite lattice $-Q_X$ embeds into $\mathbb{Z}^N$ as a p/q-changemaker lattice,

$$L = \langle w_0, \dots, w_l \rangle^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N,$$

where $N = b_2(X) + l + 1$ and the torsion coefficients of $\Delta_K(t)$ satisfy the formula

$$t_i(K) = \min_{\substack{c \cdot w_0 \equiv n+2i \bmod 2n \\ c \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{Z}^N)}} \|c\|^2 - N, \tag{3.5}$$

for $|i| \leq n/2$. If we write w_0 in the form $w_0 = \rho_t e_{t+m} + \cdots + \rho_1 e_{m+1} + e_m + \cdots + e_1$, then (3.4) becomes

$$2g(K) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \rho_i(\rho_i - 1).$$

Since $\rho_i \geq 2$ for all i, we have $\rho_i^2 \leq 2\rho_i(\rho_i - 1)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \rho_i^2 + 2\rho_t &\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{t} \rho_i(\rho_i - 1) - \rho_t^2 + 4\rho_t \\ &= 4g(K) - (\rho_t - 2)^2 + 4 \leq 4g(K) + 4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

If $K' \subset S^3$ is another knot such that $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K')$, then this gives another embedding of $-Q_X \cong L$ into $\mathbb{Z}^{b_2(X)+l+1}$ as a p/q-changemaker lattice

$$L' = \langle w_0', \dots, w_l' \rangle^\perp,$$

where the torsion coefficients of $\Delta_{K'}(t)$ satisfy the formula

$$t_i(K') = \min_{\substack{c \cdot w_0' \equiv n+2i \bmod 2n \\ c \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{Z}^N)}} \|c\|^2 - N. \tag{3.7}$$

Combining the inequality (3.6) with the assumption $p/q \geq 4g(K) + 4$ allows us to apply Lemma 3.7. This shows that there is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ mapping w_0 to w_0'. Since this automorphism will not alter the minimal values attained in (3.5) and (3.7) for each i, this shows that the torsion coefficients satisfy $t_i(K) = t_i(K')$ for all $|i| \leq n/2$. Since $g(K) < n/2$, this implies that $t_i(K') = t_i(K) = 0$ for all $|i| \geq g(K)$. Thus we can conclude that $t_i(K') = t_i(K)$ for all i. As shown in Remark 3.8, the

torsion coefficients of K and K' determine their Alexander polynomials, so we have $\Delta_{K'}(t) = \Delta_K(t)$ and $g(K) = g(K')$, as required. □

Remark 3.9. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, the quantity $4g(K)+4$ arises as an upper bound to $B = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \rho_i^2 + 2\rho_t$, where $(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_t)$ are the stable coefficients appearing in the intersection form of the sharp 4-manifold X bounding $S^3_{p/q}(K)$. In a forthcoming paper, we will show that the tuple $(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_t)$ depends only on K. Given this fact, we can replace $4g(K)+4$ in Theorem 1.1 by the quantity B. Although, the relationship between B and the knot K is not straight forward, one can show that in many cases B will be much smaller than $4g(K)+4$. For example, if K is the torus knot $T_{r,s}$, one can show that B satisfies

$$B \leq rs + 2\min\{r, s\} - 2.$$

However, when $K = T_{2,2k+1}$, one can show that the equality $B = 4k+4 = 4g(K)+4$ holds. So there are some cases in which using B instead of $4g(K)+4$ in Theorem 1.1 does not offer any improvement.

4. Characterizing slopes

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. Our proof follows the one given by Ni and Zhang. We obtain our improvement through the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For the torus knot $T_{r,s}$ with $r > s > 1$, any knot $K \subset S^3$ satisfying*

$$S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s}),$$

for some $p/q \geq 4g(T_{r,s}) + 4$, has genus $g(K) = g(T_{r,s})$ and Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_{T_{r,s}}(t)$.

Proof. Since $r > s > 1$ and $p/q \geq 4g(T_{r,s})+4 > 2g(T_{r,s})-1$, it follows that $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ is an L-space. Since $S^3_{rs-1}(T_{r,s})$ is a lens space [11], Ozsváth and Szabó show that it bounds a sharp 4-manifold [17] [20]. Therefore, since $p/q > rs-1$, Theorem 1.2 shows that $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ also bounds a sharp 4-manifold. This allows us to apply Theorem 1.1, which gives the desired conclusion. □

Remark 4.2. It is actually possible to exhibit a sharp manifold bounding $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ explicitly. Since the manifold $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ is a Seifert-fibred space with base orbifold S^2 with at most 3 exceptional fibres [11], it bounds a plumbed 4-manifold. For $p/q \geq rs - 1$, one can find such a plumbing which is negative-definite and sharp.

Using results of Agol, Lackenby, Cao and Meyerhoof [1],[9],[2], Ni and Zhang obtain a restriction on exceptional slopes of a hyperbolic knot.

Proposition 4.3 (Lemma 2.2 [13])**.** *Let $K \subseteq S^3$ be a hyperbolic knot. If*

$$|p| \geq 10.75(2g(K) - 1),$$

then $S^3_{p/q}(K)$ is hyperbolic.

Combining this with work of Gabai, they show that it is not possible for surgery of sufficiently large slope on a satellite knot and a torus knot to yield the same manifold.

Lemma 4.4. *If K is a knot such that $S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ for $r > s > 1$ and $p/q \geq 10.75(2g(T_{r,s}) - 1)$, then K is not a satellite.*

Proof. If K is a satellite knot, then let $R \subset S^3 \setminus K$ be an incompressible torus. This bounds a solid torus $V \subseteq S^3$ which contains K. Let K' be the core of the solid torus V. By choosing R to be "innermost", we may assume that K' is not a satellite. This means that K' is either a torus knot or it is hyperbolic [23]. Since $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ contains no incompressible tori and is irreducible, it follows from the work of Gabai that $V_{p/q}(K)$ is again a solid torus and K is either a 1-bridge knot or a torus knot in V [4]. In either case, this is a braid in V and we have $S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q'}(K')$ where $q' = qw^2$ and $w > 1$ is the winding number of K in V.

Since $p \geq 10.75(2g(K) - 1)$, Proposition 4.3 shows that K' cannot be hyperbolic. Thus we may assume that K' is a torus knot, say $K' = T_{m,n}$. Since $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ is an L-space and $p/q' > 0$ we have $m, n > 1$. The manifold $S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s}) = S^3_{p/q'}(T_{m,n})$ is Seifert fibred over S^2 with exceptional fibres of order $\{r, s, p - qrs\} = \{m, n, |p - q'mn|\}$. Hence we can assume $m = r$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\Delta_{T_{r,s}}(t) = \Delta_K(t)$. However, since K is a satellite, its Alexander polynomial takes the form $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_C(t)\Delta_{K'}(t^w)$, where C is the companion knot of K. In particular, we have $g(K') < g(T_{r,s})$ and, consequently, $n < s$. Comparing the orders of the exceptional fibres again, this implies that $n = p - qrs$. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p - rsq &\geq 9.75q(rs - r - s) - q(r + s) \\ &\geq 9.75(\max\{r, s\} - 2) - (2\max\{r, s\} - 1) \\ &= 7.75\max\{r, s\} - 18.5 \\ &\geq \max\{r, s\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because we have $\max\{r, s\} \geq 3$. This is a contradiction and shows that K' cannot be a torus knot. Thus we see that K cannot be a satellite knot. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that K is a knot in S^3 with $Y = S^3_{p/q}(K) = S^3_{p/q}(T_{r,s})$ for $p/q \geq 10.75(rs - r - s)$. Lemma 4.1 shows that $g(K) = g(T_{r,s})$ and $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_{T_{r,s}}(t)$. Since Y is not hyperbolic, Proposition 4.3 shows that K is not a hyperbolic knot. Lemma 4.4 shows that K is not a satellite knot. Therefore, it follows that K is a torus knot. Since two distinct torus knots have the same Alexander polynomial only if they are mirrors of one another, K is either $T_{r,s}$ or $T_{-r,s}$. As K admits positive L-space surgeries, it follows that $K = T_{r,s}$, as required. □

References

[1] Ian Agol. Bounds on exceptional Dehn filling. *Geom. Topol.*, 4:431–449, 2000.

[2] Chun Cao and G. Robert Meyerhoff. The orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds of minimum volume. *Invent. Math.*, 146(3):451–478, 2001.

[3] S. K. Donaldson. An application of gauge theory to four-dimensional topology. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(2):279–315, 1983.

[4] David Gabai. Surgery on knots in solid tori. *Topology*, 28(1):1–6, 1989.

[5] Julian Gibbons. Deficiency symmetries of surgeries in S^3. *arXiv:1304.0367*, 2013.

[6] Joshua Greene. L-space surgeries, genus bounds, and the cabling conjecture. *arXiv:1009.1130*, 2010.

[7] Joshua Greene. The lens space realization problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 177(2):449–511, 2013.

[8] Joshua Greene. Donaldson's theorem, Heegaard Floer homology, and knots with unknotting number one. *Adv. Math.*, 255(0):672 – 705, 2014.

[9] Marc Lackenby. Exceptional surgery curves in triangulated 3-manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 210(1):101–163, 2003.

[10] Duncan McCoy. Non-integer surgery and branched double covers of alternating knots. *arXiv:1406.7198*, 2014.

[11] Louise Moser. Elementary surgery along a torus knot. *Pacific J. Math.*, 38:737–745, 1971.
[12] Yi Ni and Zhongtao Wu. Cosmetic surgeries on knots in S^3. *J. Reine Angew. Math*, to appear, 2013.
[13] Yi Ni and Xingru Zhang. Characterizing slopes for torus knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(3):1249–1274, 2014.
[14] Brendan Owens and Sašo Strle. Dehn surgeries and negative-definite four-manifolds. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 18(4):839–854, 2012.
[15] Brendan Owens and Sašo Strle. Immersed disks, slicing numbers and concordance unknotting numbers. *arXiv:1311.6702*, 2013.
[16] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary. *Adv. Math.*, 173(2):179–261, 2003.
[17] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. On the Floer homology of plumbed three-manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:185–224 (electronic), 2003.
[18] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and genus bounds. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:311–334, 2004.
[19] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries. *Topology*, 44(6):1281–1300, 2005.
[20] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. On the Heegaard Floer homology of branched double-covers. *Adv. Math.*, 194(1):1–33, 2005.
[21] Peter S. Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Knot Floer homology and integer surgeries. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8(1):101–153, 2008.
[22] Peter S. Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Knot Floer homology and rational surgeries. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 11(1):1–68, 2011.
[23] William P. Thurston. Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 6(3):357–381, 1982.

University of Glasgow
E-mail address: d.mccoy.1@research.gla.ac.uk